

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,720	08/25/2003	Joseph H. Lyons	1857.2030000	9846
26111	7590 12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			CYGAN, MICHAEL T	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Alex	/			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/646,720	LYONS, JOSEPH H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cygan	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ T 	 'his action is non-final.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	, ,	` ' '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/646,720

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barada (US 4,953,388) in view of Carraras (US 4,604,892). Barada teaches an air gauge sensor comprising dividing portion [32], reference channel [42], measurement channel [40], flow restrictors [44,46] in both channels, mass flow sensor [50] coupled to both channels and to a controller (Figure 2), and a mass flow controller [20] coupled to a filter [30] acting as a snubber; see entire document, especially Figure 1. Barada teaches the method for proximity sensing with the abovedescribed apparatus; see abstract and column 3. Barada teaches the claimed invention except for an elongated orifice, particularly having the claimed dimensions.

Carreras teaches the use of a rectangular orifice having a shape homothetic of that of the deposit to be measured; see Figure 4 lines 6-9 and Figures 1 and 5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rectangular orifice as taught by Carreras in the invention taught by Barada to form the orifices, since Carreras

Application/Control Number: 10/646,720

Art Unit: 2855

teaches that this "essential feature" allows the equivalent of a volume to be measured; see column 4 lines 6-9.

With respect to the ranges of dimension claimed, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barada (US 4,953,388) in view of Zumbach (US 3,948,082). Barada teaches an air gauge sensor comprising dividing portion [32], reference channel [42], measurement channel [40], flow restrictors [44,46] in both channels, mass flow sensor [50] coupled to both channels and to a controller (Figure 2), and a mass flow controller [20] coupled to a filter [30] acting as a snubber; see entire document, especially Figure 1. Barada teaches the method for proximity sensing with the abovedescribed apparatus; see abstract and column 3. Barada teaches the claimed invention except for an elongated orifice, particularly having the claimed dimensions.

Zumbach teaches the use of a longitudinal sensing slit [34] for measuring air gaps; see column 8 and Figure 4. It have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rectangular orifice as taught by Zumbach in the invention taught by Barada to form the

orifices, since Zumbach teaches that this eliminates the need for exact lateral guidance, since the air gap is in the form of a homogeneous field; see column 8 lines 60+.

With respect to the ranges of dimension claimed, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dechape (US 4,550,592) discloses a pneumatic gauge.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,720

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5